

I thank you for the opportunity to ask some questions of the board. I represent a number of shareholders who are concerned with their investment in Woodside due to your ongoing desire to develop the Pluto LNG facility on the Burrup.

I note already what Mr Don Voelte has just replied, but would note also that already 10,000 pieces of rock art have been destroyed or relocated on the Burrup and this is just the next phase.

I also present to you, and I have done so outside, on behalf of GetUp Australia, forty thousand messages specifically about this issue, a collection which contains many succinct and erudite evaluations of the alternative locations available to you.

The shareholders that I represent have asked me to convey to you the view that a less controversial location for Pluto would be a more financially and ethically sound strategic decision for Woodside.

Whilst you have already moved 43 rock carvings and placed them elsewhere, they are concerned with your continued desire to impact a further 100-200 carvings in the next stage of your development.

We are aware of two available, flattened areas on the Burrup suitable for the second part of your facility, one less than a Kilometre away from the currently proposed location.

Given that the petroglyphs contained within the Dampier archipelago are considered by world archaeological experts to be a single site in the same sense as Stonehenge, could you please provide a rationale for your continued, controversial desire to relocate and move elements of what is a single entity?